

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JANE DOE, an individual,
Plaintiff,

v.

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF
TECHNOLOGY, a California Corporation;
JOHN DOE, an individual; KEVIN
GILMARTIN, an individual; and DOES 1
through 100, inclusive,
Defendants.

JOHN DOE, an individual,
Counterclaimant,

v.

JANE DOE, an individual,
Counter Defendant.

JOHN DOE, an individual,
Cross-Claimant,

v.

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF
TECHNOLOGY, a California Corporation,
Cross-Defendant.

Case No. 2:19-cv-1005-AB-KS

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER RE:
STIPULATION TO MODIFY
HEARING DATES AND TIMES
FOR CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF
TECHNOLOGY'S MOTIONS TO
DISMISS AND RULE 26
SCHEDULING CONFERENCE**

1 Before the Court is Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant Jane Doe, Defendant
2 and Cross-Defendant California Institute of Technology (“Caltech”), Defendant
3 Kevin Gilmartin (“Gilmartin”), and Defendant/Counterclaimant/Cross Claimant
4 John Doe’s (together, the “Parties”) Stipulation to Modify the Hearing Dates and
5 Times for Caltech’s Motions to Dismiss and the Rule 26 Scheduling Conference.
6 The Stipulation is entered and the Court hereby orders:

- 7 1. The hearing for the Motions be set at 10:00 a.m. for July 19, 2019;
8 and
9 2. The Rule 26 Scheduling Conference is rescheduled to be at the same
10 time as the hearing for the Motions.
11

12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**
13

14
15 DATED: May 14, 2019
16



17 Hon. André Birotte Jr.
18 United States District Judge
19 Central District of California
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28